Rev. 05/19/09 Doc. Code: DISQ.CKLIST		
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER INFORMAL CHECKLIST		
APPL. S.N.: 10/588,360	DATE: 3/22/2011	
EXAMINER:	ART UNIT:	
PARALEGAL: /JANICE M. FORD/	MAIL ROOM DATE: 1/10/2011	
NUMBER OF TD(s) FILED: 1		
INSTRUCTIONS : The paralegal has reviewed the submitted TD with the result If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this inform applicant about the TD. If you disagree, please contact a QAS.		
THIS CHECKLIST IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL CHECKLIST ONLY APPLICANT. IT WILL BE SOFT SCANNED AND NOT VIEWABLE TO		
☐ The TD is PROPER and has been accepted and recorded. (See FP 14.23.)		
☑ The TD is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below. (See FP 14.24.)		
☐ The disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) in the amount of \$ has not b in the application to charge to a deposit account. (See FP 14.24 and 14.26.0)	een submitted, nor is there any pre authorization 7.)	
☐ The LIE has not processed fee for TD (the Paralegal should ask LIE to process	ss the fee).	
☐ The TD does not satisfy 37 CFR 1.32(b) (3) in that the person who signed the his/her ownership interest, or (b) the extent of the business/organization entire person signed. (See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.01.)		
☐ The TD lacks the – enforceable only during the period of common ownership 37 CFR 1.321(c). (See FP 14.27.01).	o – clause needed to overcome a double patenting	
☐ The TD lacks 37 CFR 1.321(d) statement for joint research agreement under waiver and enforceability provisions of 37 CFR 1.321(d). (See FP 14.27.011		
☐ TD is directed to a particular claim(s); this is not acceptable, since the disclar patent to be granted, MPEP 1490. (See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.02).	imer must be of a terminal portion of the entire	
☐ The person who signed the terminal disclaimer:		
failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business/organization entity	. (See FP 14.28.)	
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee. (See FP 14.29.)		
does not have power of attorney, and thus, is not of record. (See FP 14.29)	9.01.)	
(Note: PoA can be given to a customer number, wherein all practitioners listed unestablished by a list of practitioners, the list may not comprise more than 10 pract not of record, cannot sign the TD unless it is established that the representative is assignee.)	titioners. A representative of the assignee, who is	
The TD is not supported by evidence of chain of title to the assignee signing documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to the documentary evidence was, or concurrently is being, submitted for recordati such documentary evidence is recorded in the Office. 37 CFR 3.73(b). (See	assignee and a statement affirming that the on; or (b) the reel and frame number(s) where	

NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the TD or in a separate passibilitied by applicant.)	per
☐ The TD is not supported by adequate evidence of chain of title to the assignee signing the TD, because the person who sign the submission under 37 CFR 3.73(b):	ed
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity. (See FPs 14.30.02 and 14.16.02	
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee. (See FP 14.30.02 and 14.16.03)	
(Note: On the submission under 37 CFR 3.73(b), the signature of an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Office is not sufficient, unless the attorney or agent is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.)	S
☐ The TD is not signed (See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.03)	
☐ The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting is not identified (i.e., missing or incorrect) in the TD. (See FP 14.32)	
☐ The serial number of the application being examined (or the number of the patent under reexam or reissue) is not identified incorrect. (See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.04 or 14.26.05)	or
☐ The TD is not signed by all owners. See FPs 14.26 and 14.26.06.	
☐ The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified. (See FPs 14.24, 14.27.02 or 14.27.03)	
☑ Other The language 35 USC 154-156 is unacceptable because 155 and 156 do not define the term of the patent, it should read 35 USC 154 and 173. Refer to the form at the end of Chapter 1400, or the form paragraphs in 1490 or language that is clear and complies with the TD rule.	ad